



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/801,515		03/07/2001	Kenneth L. Levy	LevyXR 5301	
23735	7590	11/02/2005		EXAMINER	
DIGIMARO 9405 SW GE			SONG, I	SONG, HOSUK	
BEAVERTON, OR 97008				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2135	

DATE MAILED: 11/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/801,515	LEVY, KENNETH L.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Hosuk Song	2135			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ware to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	rely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 Se	eptember 2005.				
2a) <u></u> ☐		action is non-final.				
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>18-25</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5))☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.)☑ Claim(s) <u>18-25</u> is/are rejected.					
_	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)∟	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•				
10)) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-	·(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori		d in this National Stage			
* 0	application from the International Bureau					
	see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	or the certified copies not received	j.			
Attachment	: (S)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (RTO 412)			
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat	e			
3) ∐ Inform Paper	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	5)	tent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/801,515

Art Unit: 2135

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 1. Claims 18,20-21,23-25 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Takahashi et al(US 6,882,728).

Claim 18: Takahashi disclose (a) examining the auxiliary data for copy control data in (col.2, lines 41-44). Takahashi disclose (b) examining the picture data for a digital watermark in (fig. 1). Takahashi disclose making a copy control based on results (a) and (b) and an improvement wherein the digital watermark has only two states: present or absent in (fig. 2).

Claim 20: Takahashi disclose auxiliary data comprises header data in (fig.7)

Claim 21: Kori disclose auxiliary data comprises plural bits of copy control data in (col.3,lines 11-16)

Claims 23,25: Takahashi disclose encoding a digital watermark in the picture data in (col.5,lines 40-42). Takahashi disclose including copy control data in the auxiliary data in (col.2,lines 41-49). Takahashi disclose compliant decoder device can make a copy control decision based on examination of copy control data in conjunction with examination of digital watermark in (fig.1;col.lines 39-41). Takahashi disclose improvement wherein the digital watermark has only two states:present or absent in (fig.2).

Claim 24: Takahashi disclose auxiliary data comprises header data in (fig.9)

Application/Control Number: 09/801,515 Page 3

Art Unit: 2135

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 19,22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takahashi (US 6,882,728).

Claim 19: Takahashi does not specifically disclose prohibiting copying of the video content if the copy control data is absent and the digital watermark is present. It would have been obvious to person of ordinary skill in the art to modify invention of Takahashi to prohibit copying of the video content if the copy control data is absent and the digital watermark is present in order to conserve data processing time and minimize data errors such that if the user wish to strictly prohibit data from copying, user would simply insert watermark into the data without control data. This allows speedy data transmission and less prone to data errors.

Claim 22: Takahashi disclose examining the auxiliary data for control data in (col.2,lines 41-44). Takahashi does not specifically disclose prohibiting copying of the video content if the copy control data is absent and the digital watermark is present. It would have been obvious to person of ordinary skill in the art to modify invention of Kori to prohibit copying of the video content if the copy control data is absent and the digital watermark is present in order to conserve data processing time and minimize data errors such that if the user wish to strictly prohibit data from copying, user would simply insert watermark into the data without control data. This allows speedy transmission and less prone to data errors.

Application/Control Number: 09/801,515

Art Unit: 2135

' , ı

Response to Applicant's arguments

3. Previous rejections based on the Linnartz and Ezaki patents are withdrawn in view of Applicant's

submission of pre-appeal brief request for review. However, newly discovered prior art has necessitated

new grounds of rejections. The new grounds of rejections are presented above.

USPTO Contact information

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Hosuk Song whose telephone number is 571-272-3857. The examiner can normally be

reached on Tue-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim

Vu can be reached on 571-272-3859. The fax phone number for the organization where this application

or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained

from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available

through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hosuk Song

Primary Examiner

Page 4

Art Unit 2135